$\begin{array}{l} (CH_2CMe_3)Cl_3 \text{ is treated with } 2 \text{ mol of } LiCH_2CMe_3 \text{ in pentane at } -78 \ ^\circ\text{C:} \\ \delta(CHCMe_3) 232 \ (J_{CH}= 76 \pm 2 \text{ Hz}), \ \tau(CHCMe_3) 6.25, \ \tau(CH_AH_BCMe_3) 9.08, \\ \tau(CH_AH_BCMe_3) 9.25 \ (J_{H_AH_B}= 14 \text{ Hz}). \ (b) \ \text{Ta}(\pi^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)(CHPh)(CH_2Ph)Cl \text{ is formed when } \text{Ta}(CH_2Ph)Sl_2 \text{ is treated with } LiC_5Me_5 \text{ in THF for 1 day:} \\ \delta(CHPh) 222 \ (J_{CH}= 85 \pm 2 \text{ Hz}), \ \tau(CHPh) 6.71, \ \tau(CH_AH_BPh) 7.66, \ \tau(CH_AH_BPh) 7.62 \ (J_{H_AH_B}= 11 \text{ Hz}). \ \text{Ta}(\pi^5\text{-}C_5Me_4E)(CHPh)(CH_2Ph)Cl \text{ forms similarly and has been analyzed. Calcd for TaC_{25}H_{30}Cl: C, 54.91; \text{H}, 5.52; \\ Cl, 6.48. \text{ Found: } C, 54.30; \text{H}, 5.40; Cl, 6.37. \end{array}$

- (13) (a) W. Mowat and G. Wilkinson, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Dalton Trans.*, 1120 (1973);
 (b) F. Huq, W. Mowat, A. C. Skapski, and G. Wilkinson, *Chem. Commun.*, 1477 (1971).
- (14) (a) M. R. Churchill, F. J. Hollander, and R. R. Schrock, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 100, 647 (1978); (b) M. R. Churchill and F. J. Hollander, *Inorg. Chem.*, 17, 1957 (1978).
- (15) (a) National Science Foundation Predoctoral Fellow, 1975–1978; (b) Dow Chemical Central Research Fellow, 1976–1977; (c) Alfred P. Sloan Fellow, 1976–1978.

S. J. McLain,^{15a} C. D. Wood L. W. Messerle,^{15b} R. R. Schrock^{*15c}

Department of Chemistry Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Frederick J. Hollander, Wiley J. Youngs Melvyn Rowen Churchill*

Department of Chemistry State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, New York 14214 Received January 20, 1978

Multiple Metal-Carbon Bonds. 11.¹ Bisneopentylidene Complexes of Niobium and Tantalum

Sir:

In a recent communication¹ we showed that alkylidyne complexes can be prepared from alkyl/alkylidene complexes by "accelerating" (with PMe₃) the nondegererate α -hydrogen atom transfer from the alkylidene α -carbon atom to the alkyl α -carbon atom. An interesting question is what happens if α -hydrogen abstraction occurs in a di- or trialkyl-alkylidene complex? Either an alkyl/alkylidyne or a bisalkylidene complex in principle could be formed. *Dimeric* alkyl-"alkylidyne" complexes are known.^{1,2} We now want to show that bisneopentylidene complexes (of Nb and Ta) can also be the ultimate products of accelerated α -hydrogen abstraction reactions in di- or trineopentyl-neopentylidene complexes. They can also be prepared from alkylidyne-chloride complexes by substituting the chloride with the alkyl.^{3a}

Ta(CH₂CMe₃)₃(CHCMe₃)^{3b,c} is relatively stable thermally as a solid or in pentane or benzene (for weeks at 25 °C) probably because (i) intermolecular decomposition pathways are slow and (ii) the first observed change is a degenerate intramolecular scrambling of α -hydrogen atoms ($\Delta G^{\ddagger} \approx 28$ kcal mol⁻¹; no neopentane evolves during the scrambling process^{3b}). In the presence of at least 2 mol of L (L = PMe₃ or PMe₂Ph), however, neopentane (1 mol) does evolve, comparatively rapidly when L = PMe₃ (2 h at 25 °C) but more slowly (2 weeks at 25 °C) when L = PMe₂Ph. We therefore propose that a five-coordinate intermediate is formed (eq 1) in which α -



 $"(Me_{3}CCH_{2})M(CHCMe_{3})_{2}L" \xrightarrow{L} M(CHCMe_{3})_{2}(CH_{2}CMe_{3})L_{2}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{1a}, \ \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{Ta} \\ \mathbf{b}, \ \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{Nb} \end{array}$

hydrogen atom abstraction is easier^{3b} and that the final product (in essentially quantitative yield) is a bisneopentylidene complex, **1a**. It is not necessarily true that the immediate precursor is also a bisneopentylidene complex (see later), although this is what we have assumed for the present. The evolution of neopentane from Nb(CH₂CMe₃)₃(CHCMe₃)^{3b} is also accelerated dramatically on adding L and the analogous products, **1b**, can be isolated in ~75% yield. All complexes are orange to red, crystalline, sensitive to air and moisture, and moderately soluble in pentane. A molecular weight determination for Ta(CHCMe₃)₂(CH₂CMe₃)(PMe₃)₂ in cyclohexane (cryoscopically) showed it to be a monomer (mol wt found, 540 \pm 40; two runs at 0.006 and 0.011 M). The presence of two neopentylidene ligands is suggested by the instantaneous reaction of **1** with acetone to give 2 mol of disobutylene.⁴

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **1** show that (i) it has a plane of symmetry and (ii) the neopentylidene ligands are nonequivalent on the NMR time scales (60-270 MHz) at 30 °C. For example, the ¹H NMR spectrum of Ta-(CHCMe₃)₂(CH₂CMe₃)(PMe₃)₂ at 270 MHz shows three CMe₃ singlets, a PMe₃ triplet ($J_{HP} = 2.4 \text{ Hz}$), a neopentyl H_{α} triplet at τ 9.39 ($J_{\rm HP}$ = 19 Hz), and two neopentylidene H_{α} resonances at τ 7.92 and 3.07 ($J_{\rm HP} \lesssim$ 2). On broad-banddecoupling ³¹P the neopentyl H_{α} triplet resonance becomes a singlet and the broadened neopentylidene H_{α} resonances sharpen. In the gated decoupled ¹³C spectrum we find two neopentylidene C_{α} doublet resonances at τ 274 and 246 with low CH coupling constants ($J_{CH} = 95$ and 85 Hz, respectively, $J_{\rm CP} \lesssim 5$ Hz) characteristic of neopentylidene ligands in crowded environments.⁵ When $L = PMe_2Ph$ (and M = Ta) the gated decoupled ¹³C NMR spectrum establishes that the phosphine's methyl groups are diastereotopic; two P-Me quartets are found (at δ 22.5 and 19.2; J_{CH} = 128 Hz) but only one type of phenyl ring. In the four most likely structures



(A-D) the neopentylidene ligands must not freely rotate about the M=Caxis.⁶ We favor A, based only on the relatively large -CH₂-phosphorus coupling constant (19 Hz) vs. the relatively small =CH-phosphorus coupling constant ($\lesssim 5$ Hz).

Thermally unstable $Ta(CH_2CMe_3)_4Cl$ can be prepared by adding HCl to $Ta(CH_2CMe_3)_3(CHCMe_3)$ at -78 °C in pentane.^{3b} We added PMe₃ in an attempt to trap the proposed decomposition product, $M(CH_2CMe_3)_2(CHCMe_3)Cl$;⁸ the high yield product is, instead, $Ta(CHCMe_3)_2(Cl)(PMe_3)_2$ ($\mathbf{2}_a$, eq 2). Analogous procedures give thermally stable products

$$M(CH_2CMe_3)_4C$$



where $L = PMe_2Ph$ or M = Nb. Apparently even the larger, less basic PMe_2Ph can coordinate to incipient $M(CH_2CMe_3)_2(CHCMe_3)Cl$ to give (most likely) thermally unstable $M(CH_2CMe_3)_2(CHCMe_3)(Cl)(L)$ which eliminates neopentane and adds another L to give 2. These species are much more soluble in pentane than 1 and also more volatile; $Ta(CHCMe_3)_2(Cl)(PMe_3)_2$ sublimes without decomposition at 80 °C (1 μ). A cryoscopic molecular weight determination showed $Ta(CHCMe_3)_2(Cl)(PMe_3)_2$ to be a monomer in cyclohexane (mol wt found, 550).

The reaction of 2a with LiCH₂CMe₃ gives 1 and with LiCH₃ gives 3. On reaction with LiCD₂CMe₃, Ta(CH- $CMe_3)_2(Cl)(PMe_3)_2$ gives $Ta(CHCMe_3)_2(CD_2CMe_3)$ - $(PMe_3)_2$ specifically; the signal for the neopentyl H_{α} protons is absent in the ¹H NMR spectrum and solely diisobutylene- d_0 is formed on reaction with acetone. Therefore, H_{α} scrambling in 1a, as in Ta(CH₂CMe₃)₃(CDCMe₃),^{3b} is slow.

The -30 to -50 °C ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 2 and 3 are entirely analogous (including chemical shifts and coupling constants) to those of 1. We therefore propose the structures of 2 and 3 are analogous to that of 1. However, as the temperature is raised, the two neopentylidene groups equilibrate. For example, in Ta(CHCMe₃)₂(Cl)(PMe₃)₂, the two C_{α} signals at δ 273 (J_{CH} = 98 ± 3 Hz; $J_{CP} \approx$ 5 ± 2 Hz) and 241 (J_{CH} = 86 ± 3 Hz; $J_{CP} \approx 5 \pm 2$ Hz) coalesce (at ~ 300 K, 22.63 MHz) to give a doublet $(J_{CH} = 91 \pm 3 \text{ Hz})$ at 257 (50 °C at 15.1 MHz); therefore, $\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{300} \approx 13.2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$. For Ta(CHCMe₃)₂(CH₃)(PMe₃)₂ $\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{353} \approx 16.3$ kcal mol⁻¹ and for Ta(CHCMe₃)₂(CH₂CMe₃)(PMe₃)₂ $\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{373} \approx 17.2$ kcal mol⁻¹ by both ¹³C and ¹H NMR. Added PMe₃ does not exchange with coordinated PMe₃ during the equilibration⁹ and ΔG^{\pm} is independent of complex concentration. We therefore propose that the equilibration process is intramolecular but do not yet know whether the TBP skeleton rearranges or whether the neopentylidene ligands only rotate (synchronously or separately) about the axial M = C bonds.⁶

The reaction of 2a (L = PMe₃) with NaCp yields 1 mol of PMe₃ and monomeric 4 (mol wt found, 482, in cyclohexane) in which the two neopentylidene ligands (eq 3) are nonequiv-



alent on the NMR time scales at low temperatures $({}^{13}C_{\alpha}$ (67.89 MHz) at δ 255 and 235 at -30 °C (J_{CH} = 97 ± 3 and 90 \pm 3 Hz, respectively), $^{1}H_{\alpha}$ (60 MHz) at τ 1.74 and 7.97 at -60 °C, both in toluene- d_8). On warming the sample they equilibrate (${}^{13}C_{\alpha}$ at δ 247 ($J_{CH} = 94 \pm 3$ Hz at 60 °C), ¹H at τ 4.65 at 80 °C, $\Delta G^{\ddagger} \approx 12.5$ kcal mol⁻¹) most likely by rotation of the neopentylidene ligands about the M=C bonds. The fact that the ground state is not an olefin complex cannot be ascribed to their being mutually trans (as in the proposed ground state structures of 1 or 2). The stability of 4 (a 16e complex) relative to the *trans*-di-*tert*-butylethylene complex (14e) might then be ascribed to the fact that Ta is electron deficient and would prefer a 16e count and/or that the activation energy to form the hindered trans-di-tert-butylethylene is prohibitively high.

It is noteworthy that 4 is also formed quantitatively on treating TaCp(CCMe₃)(Cl)(PMe₃)₂¹ with $\frac{1}{2}Mg(CH_{2} CMe_3)_2(dioxane)$ in ether; an analogue containing η^5 -C₅Me₅ can be prepared similarly employing LiCH₂CMe₃ in pentane. (eq 4). This finding suggests that monomeric neopentyl-neopentylidyne complexes can rearrange to bisneopentylidene complexes. Whether they always do and how general this re-



arrangement is if $R = R' \neq CMe_3$ or if $R \neq R'$ in a RCH₂M=CR' complex remains to be seen. Also, our findings still do not tell us whether alkyl-alkylidyne or bisalkylidene complexes form first when di- or trialkyl-alkylidene complexes lose alkane.10

We are in the process of preparing and studying the formation and reactions of other bisalkylidene complexes. Among other things we hope to determine under what conditions, if any, an olefin complex does form, and whether this olefin might be in equilibrium with the free olefin.¹² We have found so far that small olefins react with 2 in a characteristic way.¹³ For example, ethylene produces 2 mol of 4,4-dimethyl-1-pentene. Surprisingly, however, $3 \mod \text{are formed when ethylene reacts}$ with 1.

Acknowledgments. Acknowledgment is made to the National Science Foundation (CHE76-07410) for support of this research and to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for partial support in the form of a fellowship to G.A.R. We also thank the Francis N. Bitter National Magnet Laboratory for use of their high-field NMR facilities.

References and Notes

- (1) S. J. McLain, C. D. Wood, L. W. Messerle, R. R. Schrock, F. J. Hollander, W. J. Youngs, and M. R. Churchill, J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press. W. Mowat and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1120 (1973).
- (a) Satisfactory elemental analyses have been obtained for the reported complexes. (b) R. R. Schrock and J. D. Fellmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100,
- 3359 (1978). (c) R. R. Schrock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **96**, 6796 (1974). R. R. Schrock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **98**, 5399 (1976). Why J_{CH} varies so greatly (from 76 Hz in Ta(η^5 -C₅Me₅)(CH₂CMe₃)-(CHCMe₃)Cl¹ to 131 Hz in Nb(η^5 -C₅H₅)(CHMe₃)Cl⁶) will be discussed elsewhere. We should note that the C_a and H_a signals in the ¹³C and ¹H (5) NMR spectra of Nb complexes are broadened significantly owing to coupling to 93 Nb ($I = {}^{9}/_{2}$, 100%).
- (6) The barrier to rotation of the methylene ligand in TaCp₂(CH₂)(CH₃) is estimated to be ≥21 kcal mol⁻¹. The barriers to rotation in similar ==CHPh and ===CHCMe₃ complexes are 19.3 and 16.8 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively, most likely due to progressively greater steric crowding in the coordination sphere: R. R. Schrock, C. D. Wood, L. W. Messerle, and L. J. Guggenberger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **100,** 3793 (1978).
- The two ===CHCMe₃ ligands and the CH₂CMe₃ ligand are coplanar and ar-ranged in a pinwheel fashion. Note that the =:GHCMe3 ligand is *perpendicular* to the trigonal pseudoplane in B but lies *in* the plane in C.
 (8) This product can be trapped with TIC₅H₅ (M = Ta) to give Ta(η⁵-C₅H₅)-(CH₂CMe₃)₂(CHCMe₃).³⁵
- The triplet signal for bound PMe3 does eventually collapse to a singlet and (9)bound PMe3 exchanges with any added PMe3. This process is most facile in more crowded Ta(CHCMe3)2(CH2CMe3)(PMe3)2. It clearly is not related to the lower temperature intramolecular alkylidene ligand equilibration.
- (10) We now believe that this may depend on the structure of both the starting material and the product and the types of ligands in each. In one case, which may eventually prove to be a special case, a neopen tylidene α hydrogen is removed by an external base.11
- (11) L. J. Guggenberger and R. R. Schrock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 5935 (1975).
- (12) Electron rich olefins such as PhNCH2CH2NPhC==CNPhCH2CH2NPh will add to metals in low oxidation states to give bis "carbene" complexes (see M. F. Lappert and P. L. Pye, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2172 (1977), and references therein). In one case such olefins could be metathesized slowly by a rhodium catalyst (D. J. Cardin, M. J. Doyle, and M. F. Lappert, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 927 (1972)). However, to what extent these findings are related to the corresponding reactions of ordinary olefins remains an open question. (See R. J. Haines and C. J. Leigh, Chem. Soc. Rev., 4, 155

(1975).)
 (13) S. J. McLain, C. D. Wood, and R. R. Schrock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 3579 (1977).

(14) Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Fellow, 1976-1978.

J. D. Fellmann, G. A. Rupprecht C. D. Wood, R. R. Schrock*¹⁴ Department of Chemistry Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 Received March 27, 1978

Reductive Elimination of Isobutane from an Isobutyl Hydride Derivative of Bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)zirconium

Sir:

The majority of transition metal complexes bearing *cis*hydride and alkyl ligands are evidence only by the detection of alkane, presumably generated by facile reductive elimination from the unstable hydridoalkyl compounds.¹ In several cases such intermediates have been characterized in solution,^{1,2} but there appear to be very few hydridoalkyl metal complexes which are sufficiently stable for their isolation at room temperature.³⁻⁷ Consequently there have been few systematic investigations of this important class of compounds with regard to the preferred modes of decomposition or their reactivity toward H₂, olefins, or donor ligands.

The paucity of stable hydridoalkyl compounds has been recognized by Norton,⁶ who noted further that, within a homologous series of *cis*-dihydrido, -dialkyl, and -hydridoalkyl metal complexes, the last is always least stable. This same relative order of stability is displayed within the homologous series of bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)zirconium(IV) compounds. Thus, while toluene solutions of the dihydrido derivative, $(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)_2ZrH_2$,⁸ and dimethyl derivative, $(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)_2Zr(CH_3)_2$,⁹ are stable for weeks at 100 °C, the isobutyl hydride complex, $(\eta^5 - C_5 Me_5)_2 Zr(H)(CH_2 CHMe_2)$ (1),⁹ decomposes during the course of several hours at 75 °C providing isobutane quantitatively (NMR). Isobutane is formed at lower temperatures during the reaction of 1 with ethylene (25 °C) or H_2 (-15 °C). The relatively high thermal stability of 1, the ease with which regiospecific deuterium labeling of 1 can be accomplished,^{10,11} and the clean, stoichiometric character of these reactions have permitted some studies, reported herein, which bear on the mechanism of isobutane formation in this system.

 $[\eta^{5}-C_{5}(CH_{3})_{5}]_{2}Zr(H)(CH_{2}CHMe_{2})$ (1a), $[\eta^{5}-C_{5}(CH_{3})_{5}]_{2}Zr(D)(CH_{2}CDMe_{2})$ (1b), and $[\eta^{5}-C_{5}(CD_{3})_{5}]_{2}Zr(D)(CH_{2}CDMe_{2})$ (1c) are obtained quantitatively (NMR) via treatment of benzene solutions of $[\eta^{5}-C_{5}(CH_{3})_{5}]_{2}ZrH_{2}$, $[\eta^{5}-C_{5}(CH_{3})_{5}]_{2}ZrD_{2}$, and $[\eta^{5}-C_{5}(CD_{3})_{5}]_{2}ZrD_{2}$, respectively, with isobutylene at 25 °C (eq 1). Compound 1 may be isolated as a pale yellow microcrystalline material, which on the basis of analytical, IR, ¹H NMR,⁹ and molecular weight data¹² appears to have the normal monomeric, pseudotetrahedral arrangement of ligands around Zr.

$$[\eta^{5}-C_{5}(CX_{3})_{5}]_{2}ZrY_{2} + CH_{2} = CMe_{2}$$

$$\rightarrow [\eta^{5}-C_{5}(CX_{3})_{5}]_{2}Zr(Y)(CH_{2}CYMe_{2}) \quad (1)$$
1a, X = Y = H
b, X = H; Y = D
c, X = Y = D

When pyrolyzed in benzene or toluene solution in vacuo at 74 °C, 1 quantitatively liberates isobutane (NMR). Under these conditions the organozirconium products appear to un-

Table I. Isotopic Distribution of Isobutanes Obtained from 1a, 1b, and 1c

	solvent	product
Burolucio		
la	C_7D_8	$CH_{3}CH(CH_{3})_{2}$ (2a)
lb	C_7D_8	$CH_3CD(CH_3)_2$ (2b)
1c	C ₇ H ₈	$CH_2DCD(CH_3)_2$ (2c)
la + lc	C_7H_8	2a + 2c
Reaction with H_2		
1a	C4D6	2a
16		2h
10		20
IC	C_7D_8	20
Reaction with D_2		
1a	C ₇ H ₈	$(CH_{2}D)CH(CH_{3})_{2}(2d)$
1b	C ₇ D ₈	2c
	-7-8	
Reaction with C_2H_4		
la	C_6D_6	2a
16	C ₂ D ₂	$\frac{1}{2b}(+2c)^{a}$
	C/D8	20(120)
Reaction with C_2D_4		
la	C_7D_8	$2a + 2d^b$

^a Not detected; large excess of C₂H₄ used. ^b See text.

dergo further reaction(s) and the final products have not all been identified.¹³ Nonetheless, the rate of disappearance of 1 and the rate of appearance of isobutane, monitored by ¹H NMR spectrometry (benzene- d_6), are in very good agreement and obey a first-order relationship

$$-d[\mathbf{1a}]/dt = d[CHMe_3]/dt = k_{\mathbf{1a}}[\mathbf{1a}]$$
(2)

with $k_{1a} = (7.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 74 °C. Activation parameters, calculated from rate data obtained at 105, 90, and 74 °C were $\Delta H^{\pm} = 21 \pm 1$ kcal mol⁻¹ and $\Delta S^{\pm} = -5 \pm 0.5$ eu. Pyrolysis of 1b at 74 °C proceeds at a nearly identical rate $(k_{1b} = (7.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1})$, whereas 1c decomposes roughly half as fast $(k_{1c} = (3.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1})$.

The isotopic distributions for the isobutanes obtained on pyrolysis of **1a**, **1b**, and **1c** were determined by a combination of IR and mass spectrometry^{14a} and are summarized in Table I. Surprisingly, pyrolysis of **1b** gives only 2-deuterio-2-methylpropane (**2b**). The results obtained for **1c** and for a 1:1 mixture of **1a** and **1c** confirm that isobutane is generated by *intra*molecular coupling of the isobutyl moiety with a hydrogen from a cyclopentadienyl methyl group. Simple coupling of the alkyl and hydride substituents originally cis in **1** is thus contradicted as are radical or other bimolecular processes.

Compound 1 reacts rapidly with a H₂ (1 atm) in toluene at -15 °C ($t_{1/2} \simeq 5$ min) to give (η^{5} -C₅Me₅)₂ZrH₂ and isobutane (eq 3). Once again the mechanism which first comes to mind, namely reductive elimination of alkane followed by trapping of the permethyl zirconocene fragment with H₂, is not observed. Rather, the labeling pattern for the isobutanes (Table I) indicates exclusive coupling of the isobutyl group with hydrogen (or deuterium) from the ambient gas.

$$(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})_{2}Zr(H)(CH_{2}CHMe_{2}) + H_{2}$$

 $\rightarrow (\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})_{2}ZrH_{2} + CH_{3}CH(CH_{3})_{2}$ (3)

The mechanism outlined in Scheme I accounts for these data for the pyrolysis of 1 and its reaction with H₂. Thus the firstorder dependence on [1] observed for the rate of pyrolytic decomposition, the small, negative entropy of activation (ΔS^{\pm} = -5 (± 0.5) eu), and the observation of a kinetic deuterium isotope effect only on deuteration of the cyclopentadienyl methyl groups^{14b} are fully in accord with a rate-determining, unimolecular rearrangement of 3 to 4 followed by reductive elimination of isobutane with the generation of 5. The final